I have been laying out the groundwork for the next phase of this cycle, which is good news for Main Street even if not that great for Wall Street or my long-held belief in the longevity of this secular disinflationary cycle ... which I think is now in its mature stage.

I think the people that write off the prospect of cost-push inflation are taking on a very myopic view. Inflation is a process, and it takes a long while to build. There is evidence that the consumer deleveraging cycle is largely over. Subprime auto credit is up 30% from a year ago. We are up to a 20% share of mortgages being originated with downpayments of less than 10%. CLO (collateralized loan obligation) issuance is back to 2007 levels. In the corporate space, fully one-third of high-yield debt issues this year have been centred in firms with the weakest balance sheets. At some point, money velocity and the money multiplier will stop falling. No doubt fiscal drag will take a chunk out of GDP growth in the near-term, but this too shall pass and the reality is that the aggregate supply curve (and this is true globally) has become increasingly inelastic. The pool of available skilled labour is shrinking rapidly and after five years of the weakest growth in the private sector capital stock, we are starting to see the lagged ill-effects on productivity growth. Potential non-inflationary growth had already been pared, in my estimates, to 1.7%. And the only way we could have experienced a drop in the unemployment rate in the past year from 8.2% to 7.4% in the face of sub-2% economic growth strongly suggests that we are now on our way to a potential non-inflationary speed limit of just 1%. Very European indeed.

I am not very bullish on the outlook for aggregate demand growth. But the shape of the aggregate supply curve is now so inelastic that it doesn’t take much in the way of growth in spending to generate higher inflation over time. I realize that is not evident just yet to the naked eye and this is a process that will be akin to watching grass grow. That is always the case. This is the big risk — margin compression affects the ‘E’, while inflation, insofar as the tight historical relationship with final prices holds, even if to a smaller degree this time around, affects the P/E. Once again, this becomes a strategy of adding some inflation hedges to the S.I.R.P. portfolio — exposure to tangibles or hard assets, and in some cases like resources and TIPS/RRBS (real return bonds), they have cheapened up very nicely of late. Within the equity universe, dividend coverage/payouts are augmented by screening of sectors and companies that have high-fixed costs and low variable costs, that have high capital/labour ratios and also high demand inelasticities (a.k.a.

**SUMMARY**

- Inflation is a process, and it takes a long while to build. There is evidence that the consumer deleveraging cycle is largely over.
- The shape of the aggregate supply curve is now so inelastic that it doesn’t take much in the way of growth in spending to generate higher inflation over time.
- The prospect that we are sitting at over 3% on the 10-year T-note yield a year from now and north of 4% by 2017 is hardly trivial.
- If you are an investor, don’t spend too long debating whether you should be starting to hedge your portfolio against the prospect of a rising long-term interest rate environment.
‘pricing power’). Stay tuned as this theme becomes more fully fleshed out in the near future. Better to be prepared for shifts in the landscape than to be surprised.

I keep emphasizing that it took Paul Volcker a good three years to kill inflation for good and it is taking longer for Bernanke to dispel deflation concerns even if the U.S. economy in terms of consumer prices has never deflated once in the past six decades, but he will ultimately be successful and my call for higher long-term rates is a secular view that does not dismiss out of hand the prospect that near-term cyclical pressures and the aggressive buying by the Fed does not lead to a test of the yield lows in coming months. It’s just a rally I would be glad to face as it probably is the last gasp in this three-decade old bond bull phase. Unless you do believe in deflation, it is going to be difficult to hold every maturity to the 10-year T-note negative in real terms (adjusted for the core CPI trend) and negative relative to nominal GDP across the entire curve indefinitely — that last part is only sustainable in a period of negative real growth rates which is hardly the case today and remains an elusive forecast for many a pundit. Have a look at Ben Bernanke’s analytical piece called *Long-Term Interest Rates* back on March 1st and assess the balance of risks — visibly one-sided even if the timing is up for debate.

The prospect that we are sitting at over 3% on the 10-year T-note yield a year from now and north of 4% by 2017 is hardly trivial. I’ve been in the deflation camp for two decades … but strongly feel it is time to move on. It is a crowded trade. I used to say in the 1990s and 2000s that we had a generation of market pundits and players who only know higher interest rates and inflation. Today, we have a group of twenty, thirty and even forty-somethings who know little about bond selloffs, inflation or even what a normal Fed tightening cycle looks like. The new paradigm has shifted in the opposite direction and today’s ever-more consensus forecast of 1% yields on 10-year T-notes and 2% on the long bond based on deflation reminds me of the bold calls for 30% bond yields back in the early 1980s based on runaway inflation. Rosie’s Rule #4: “Fall in love with your partner, not your forecast”.

A recent McKinsey study found that only 43% of employers surveyed in nine countries believed they had a sufficient pool of skilled entry-level workers to choose from. Not only that, but mid-sized firms (between 50 and 500 employees) had an average of 13 entry-level positions sitting vacant. In other words, this is far more a skills mis-match story than one related to aging (though not aged) baby boomers. The reality is that employment has risen some three million since mid-2009 but for some reason, nine million adult Americans dropped out of the labour market altogether over this time frame. The demand is there with job openings rising 81% from the cycle lows and layoffs down 41%. The problem is that new hires are stagnating at best, and this is why the workweek is
being lengthened and overtime hours being expanded. And the working class is beginning to notice that it is starting to have some bargaining power as the number of voluntary quits has risen 35% so far this cycle and are flirting near 4½-year highs.

While grosshirings are anemic, to be sure, companies are trying to hang onto their staff and as such, layoff rates have come down a long way. There may well be 90 million American adults outside of the labour market and another 12 million in the jobless ranks looking for a job but can’t find one because they don’t have the right skills, but rest assured that the 144 million who are gainfully employed are becoming ever more confident in their own prospects, and that is, again, underscored by a “voluntary quit” rate that has also risen to a cycle high.

No matter the reason, the reality is that capacity is being taken out of the jobs market (much like it has in the airline sector which is one area that now has pricing power as a result), and as such, less competition for available jobs will likely result in rising wage rates unless the laws of supply and demand have managed to bypass the labour market. Much of this “capacity reduction” may reflect the fact that an ever-rising number of the “99%” are actually getting paid not to work. So I would say this may be more about the incentive system than demographics.

For example, a record 23 million households are now on food stamps — up over 735,000 from a year ago and now this is representing close to 30% of the total number of adults who now reside outside the confines of the labour force. There are also nearly nine million Americans on disability and I do not want to at all disparage those who are truly physically hurt, but my only point is that the SSDI program (Social Security Disability Insurance) is bursting at the seams, having jumped by one million workers in just the past three years and 300,000 of these in just the past three months — an annual expense (to the taxpayer and it is non-wage income to the recipient) of $165 billion.

It will not be a straight line up, and in fact, I see the prospect of a still-oversold bond market seeing lower yield activity over the near-term, but don’t confuse the small and big picture here. The big picture is that the lows in Treasury yields were turned in a year ago when a three-decade secular bull market came to an end, and a secular bear market was in its infancy. You want more evidence? Go to the Bernanke piece he penned on March 1st titled “Long-Term Interest Rates” and you will see firsthand that the architect of today’s super-low interest rates himself sees 10-year T-note yields moving from today’s 2.5%-ish level to something closer to 4% or even higher in coming years.

So if you are an issuer, the time for refinancing is now, not later. And if you are an investor, don’t spend too long debating whether you should be starting to hedge your portfolio against the prospect of a rising long-
term interest rate environment, even as central banks continue to keep short-term policy yields at the floor. From the perspective of an economist at a wealth management firm, this means embarking on strategies that over time will effectively hedge out interest rate risk, for example with exposure to hard assets, credit arbitrage, and screening in the equity market for companies with high fixed costs and low variable costs, high ratios of capital to labour, and firms with a proven history of being able to pass on cost increases to protect profit margins.

**CHART 1: ROSIE’S BAKER’S DOZEN OF (ECONOMISTS’) RULES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>In order for an economic forecast to be relevant, it must be combined with a market call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Never be a slave to the data — they are no substitute for astute observation of the big picture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The consensus rarely gets it right and almost always errs on the side of optimism — except at the bottom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fall in love with your partner, not your forecast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>No two cycles are ever the same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Never hide behind your model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Always seek out corroborating evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Have respect for what the markets are telling you</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Be constantly aware with your forecast horizon — many clients live in the short run</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Of all the market forecasters, Mr. Bond gets it right most often</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Highlight the risks to your forecasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Get the U.S. consumer right and everything else will take care of itself</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Expansions are more fun than recessions (straight from Bob Farrell’s quiver!)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Gluskin Sheff
CHART 2: DEFLATION HAS BECOME THE CONSENSUS VIEW

Source: Barron's (April 22, 2013)

CHART 3: DEFLATION HAS BECOME THE CONSENSUS VIEW
CHART 4: THE WIZARD IS A HERO!

CHART 5: UNPRECEDENTED MONETARY EXPANSION
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Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff
CHART 6: NINE TRILLION IN CASH ... EARNING 0%

United States
(quarter-over-quarter percent change, annual rate)

Household Cash Assets
($ trillions)

3-Month Treasury Bill Yield
(percent)

Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff

CHART 7: HE SAID WHAT??

“We do think that these policies can bring interest rates down, not just treasury rates but a whole range of rates including mortgage rates and rates for corporate bonds and other types of important interest rates. It also affects stock prices. It affects other prices, home prices, for example.

So looking at all the different channels of effect, we think it does have an impact on the economy. It will have impact on the labor market but again, the way I would describe it is a meaningful effect, a significant effect, but not a panacea, not a solution for the whole issue.”

Ben Bernanke
the Post-FOMC Meeting Press Conference
September 13, 2012
CHART 8: THE STOCK MARKET NOW FOLLOW THE FED’S BALANCE SHEET

United States
S&P 500 (left axis, index)
Federal Reserve Credit (right axis, $trillions)

Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff

CHART 9: THE POTEMKIN RALLY

United States
NYSE Stock Market Cap ($ billions)
NYSE Mkt Cap/Fed’s Total Assets (ratio)

Shaded regions represent periods of U.S. recession
Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff
CHART 10: THE POTEMKIN ECONOMIC RECOVERY
United States: 16 Quarters After A Recession Ends

Real GDP per capita
(annualized percent change)

Nominal GDP per capita
(annualized percent change)

Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff

CHART 11: SUPPLY CURVE SCLEROSIS
United States

“The report cites three estimates of the extent to which a lower trend rate explains the weak recovery. One, published in 2012 by James Stock of Harvard University (now a council member) and Mark Watson of Princeton University, derives America’s potential growth rate from the long-term average of variables such as employment and productivity. This approach concludes that 80% of the two-percentage-point shortfall in growth relative to other recoveries is caused by slower potential.”

The Economist, March 23, 2013

Source: Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, The Economist, Gluskin Sheff
CHART 12: SECULAR DECLINE IN “POTENTIAL” GDP GROWTH

United States: Productivity Growth + Labour Force Growth
(five-year percent change at an annual rate)

Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff

CHART 13: SUPPLY CURVE BECOMING INELASTIC

1980-90’s Elastic Supply Curve | Today’s Inelastic Supply Curve

Source: Gluskin Sheff
CHART 14: YELLEN AND SCREAMIN’ OVER THE CAPEX SHORTFALL

“... the slow recovery has depressed the pace of capital accumulation, and it may also have hindered new business formation and innovation, developments that would have an adverse effect on structural productivity.”

Janet L. Yellen
2013 National Association for Business Economics Policy Conference
Washington, D.C.
March 4, 2013

CHART 15: WEAKEST GROWTH IN THE PRIVATE CAPITAL STOCK IN SIX DECADES
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Real Net Private Capital Stock
(five-year percent change at an annual rate)

Business Spending as a Share of GDP
(percent)

Shaded regions represent periods of U.S. recession
Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff
CHART 16: GOVERNMENT REGULATION GETS IN THE WAY

United States: NFIB: Government Requirements as the Top Problem
(percent of respondents)

Shaded regions represent periods of U.S. recession
Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff

CHART 17: YELLEN AND SCREAMIN’ OVER THE LABOUR MARKET

The large shortfall of employment relative to its maximum level has imposed huge burdens on all too many American households and represents a substantial social cost. In addition, prolonged economic weakness could harm the economy’s productive potential for years to come. The long-term unemployed can see their skills erode, making these workers less attractive to employers. If these jobless workers were to become less employable, the natural rate of unemployment might rise or, to the extent that they leave the labor force, we could see a persistently lower rate of labor force participation.

Janet L. Yellen
2013 National Association for Business Economics Policy Conference
Washington, D.C.
March 4, 2013
CHART 18: AVERAGE LENGTH OF UNEMPLOYMENT
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Shaded regions represent periods of U.S. recession
Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff

CHART 19: A RECORD NUMBER OF AMERICANS HAVE LEFT THE LABOUR FORCE
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People Not in Labor Force

Share of population not in Labour Force

Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff
**CHART 20: JOB OPENINGS ON THE RISE**

United States: JOLTS: Job Openings (thousands)

![Graph showing job openings on the rise from 2002 to 2013](chart20_job_openings.png)

Shaded region represents period of U.S. recession

Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff

**CHART 21: HIRING INTENTIONS: CHOPPY BUT ON AN UPWARD TRAJECTORY**

United States: NFIB: Firms Planning to Increase Employment (percent of respondents)

![Graph showing hiring intentions from 2000 to 2013](chart21_hiring_intentions.png)

Shaded regions represent periods of U.S. recession

Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff
CHART 22: FIRMS WITH POSITIONS NOT ABLE TO FILL

United States: NFIB Survey
(percent of respondents)

Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff

CHART 23: SKILL SHORTAGE IS MORE ACUTE

United States: NFIB: Businesses with Few or No Qualified Applicants for Job Openings
(percent of respondents)

Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff
CHART 24: HIRING IS LAGGING BEHIND

United States: JOLTS: Hires
(Thousands)

CHART 25: FIRINGS PLUNGE (LABOUR HOARDING?)

United States: JOLTS: Layoffs and Discharges
(Thousands)

Shaded region represents period of U.S. recession
Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff
CHART 26: PINK SLIP ANNOUNCEMENTS AT CYCLE LOWS
United States: Job Cut Announcements
(thousands)

Shaded region represents period of U.S. recession
Source: Challenger, Gray & Christmas, Gluskin Sheff

CHART 27: NUMBER OF JOB QUITTERS ON THE RISE TOO
United States: JOLTS: Quits
(thousands)

Shaded region represents period of U.S. recession
Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff
CHART 28: EMPLOYERS RESORTING TO WORKWEEK EXTENSION

United States

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Private Industry Workweek (Index, 2002=100)</th>
<th>Manufacturing Weekly Overtime (hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105.0  107.5  105.0  102.5  100.0</td>
<td>3.0  3.5  3.0  2.5  2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle High</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff

CHART 29: EARLY SIGNS OF A WAGE RESPONSE?

United States: Average Weekly Earnings (year-over-year percent change)

Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff
CHART 30: PRODUCTIVITY GROWTH HEADING LOWER

United States: Productivity Growth*
(line: year-over-year percent change, left axis)
(bars: quarter-over-quarter percent change at an annual rate, right axis)

*Real output per hour of all persons in the nonfarm business sector
Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff

CHART 31: UNIT LABOUR COSTS REACCELERATE

United States: Unit Labour Costs
(year-over-year percent change)

Shaded regions represent periods of U.S. recession
Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff
CHART 32: TIGHT HISTORICAL LINK BETWEEN UNIT LABOUR COSTS AND INFLATION

United States
Overall CPI (dark green line, left axis, year-over-year percent change)
Unit Labour Costs (light green line, right axis, year-over-year percent change)

Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff

correlation = 0.87

CHART 33: DEFLATION — YESTERDAY’S STORY

United States: NFIB: Firms Planning to Raise Average Selling Prices
(percent of respondents)

Shaded regions represent periods of U.S. recession
Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff
CHART 34: BOB FARRELL’S 10 MARKET RULES TO REMEMBER

1. Markets tend to return to the mean over time
2. Excesses in one direction will lead to an opposite excess in the other direction
3. There are no new eras – excesses are never permanent
4. Exponential rapidly rising or falling markets usually go further than you think, but they do not correct by going sideways
5. The public buys the most at the top and the least at the bottom
6. Fear and greed are stronger than long-term resolve
7. Markets are strongest when they are broad and weakest when they narrow to a handful of blue chip names
8. Bear markets have three stages – i) sharp down, ii) reflexive rebound, and iii) a drawn-out fundamental downtrend
9. When all the experts and forecasts agree, something else is going to happen
10. Bull markets are more fun than bear markets

CHART 35: LABOUR PAINS COME TO AN END?

United States: Labour Share of National Income (percent)

Shaded regions represent periods of U.S. recession
Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff
CHART 36: A TRANSFER OF INCOME TO THE PERSONAL SECTOR MAY BE A GOOD THING

United States
(percent)

Personal Savings Rate

Corporate Savings Rate*

* Non-financial net savings divided by total internal funds plus inventory valuation adjustment
Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff

CHART 37: FROM DELEVERAGING TO RELEVERAGING?

United States: Household Debt

HH Borrowing from Credit Market
($ billions, annual rate)

Total HH Debt
(year-over-year percent change)

Shaded regions represent periods of U.S. recession
Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff
“One purpose of this support is to prompt a return to the productive risk-taking that is essential to robust growth and to getting the unemployed back to work.” – Long-Term Interest Rates, 2013, Ben Bernanke

CHART 39: BERNANKE DUSTS OFF THE “PHILLIPS CURVE”

FOMC meeting – June 22, 2011
The Committee continues to anticipate that economic conditions—including low rates of resource utilization and a subdued outlook for inflation over the medium run—will likely warrant exceptionally low levels for the federal funds rate for an extended period.

FOMC meeting – August 9, 2011
The Committee currently anticipates that economic conditions—including low rates of resource utilization and a subdued outlook for inflation over the medium run—will likely warrant exceptionally low levels for the federal funds rate at least through mid-2013.

FOMC meeting – January 25, 2012
In particular, the Committee decided today to keep the target range for the federal funds rate at 0 to 1/4 percent and currently anticipates that economic conditions—including low rates of resource utilization and a subdued outlook for inflation over the medium run—are likely to warrant exceptionally low levels for the federal funds rate at least through late 2014.

FOMC meeting – September 13, 2012
In particular, the Committee also decided today to keep the target range for the federal funds rate at 0 to 1/4 percent and currently anticipates that exceptionally low levels for the federal funds rate are likely to be warranted at least through mid-2015.

FOMC meeting – December 12, 2012
In particular, the Committee decided to keep the target range for the federal funds rate at 0 to 1/4 percent and currently anticipates that this exceptionally low range for the federal funds rate will be appropriate at least as long as the unemployment rate remains above 6.5 percent, inflation between one and two years ahead is projected to be no more than a half percentage point above the Committee’s 2 percent longer-run goal, and longer-term inflation expectations continue to be well anchored.
CHART 40: IF ASSUMPTIONS CHANGE, SO DO THE CONCLUSIONS

United States: Unemployment Rate (percent)

2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0 9.0 10.0 11.0

Assuming:
- Working-age population and employment grow at a pace consistent with cycle avg.
- Part time rate constant at current level

Jun. 2014
Assuming:
working-age population, employment and part rate change at an average pace in the last 12 months

Apr. 2014
Assuming:
working-age population, employment and part rate change at an average pace so far this year

Assuming:

Dashed lines represent estimates
Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff

CHART 41: THE FED Responds TO THE “OUTPUT GAP”

United States: Output Gap as Percent of Potential GDP (percent)
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Shaded regions represent periods of U.S. recession
Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff
CHART 42: IS THE OUTPUT GAP REALLY 6%?

United States
(Percent)

Output Gap

Core CPI*

\[\text{Output Gap Core CPI}^{*}\]

*CPI ex food and energy, YoY
Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff

CHART 43: JAPAN HAS A 1% OUTPUT AND -0.3% CORE INFLATION

Japan
(Percent)

Output Gap

Core CPI*

\[\text{Output Gap Core CPI}^{*}\]

*CPI ex food and energy, YoY
Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff
CHART 44: EQUILIBRIUM FED FUNDS RATE IS ALSO SENSITIVE TO OUTPUT ESTIMATES

United States: Fed Funds Rate and Taylor-rule Estimate (percent)

Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff

CHART 45: FED PURSUING FINANCIAL INSTABILITY?

It follows that the FOMC will only be able to meet its objectives over that time frame by taking policy actions that ensure that the real interest rate remains unusually low.

I then point out that low real interest rates can be expected to be associated with financial market phenomena that are seen as signifying instability. It follows that, for many years to come, the FOMC will only be able to achieve its congressionally mandated objectives by following policies that result in signs of financial market instability.
CHART 46: BURNS RETURNS!
United States: Real Fed Funds Rate*
(percent)

Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff

CHART 47: THE FED’S EXPERIMENTS IN PROVIDING NEGATIVE REAL RATES ...
United States: Three-month Treasury Yield minus CPI YoY
(percent)

Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff
CHART 48: ... HAVE ALWAYS LED TO EXCESS

United States
(quarter-over-quarter percent change, annual rate)

CHART 49: RECALL WHAT LARRY MEYER SAID BACK IN 2000

So, is there a new economy? As I said, it depends. For my part, I accept the proposition that there has been a significant improvement in underlying productivity growth in the United States, that it is very closely tied to improvements in information and communications technology, and that it is likely to spread around the world. But I resist the new economy label because it seems to encourage a disrespect for the old rules that could seriously undermine our success in taking advantage of the new opportunities.

The second insight—and enduring old economy wisdom—is that a proximate source of changes in inflation is an imbalance between the levels of aggregate supply and aggregate demand. This can be expressed as an imbalance between actual and potential output or as a divergence of the unemployment rate from the NAIRU. The imbalance between the growth rates of aggregate supply and demand is, of course, the source of changes in the balance between the levels of aggregate demand and supply. But inflation is related directly to the levels not to the growth imbalance. And, even in the new economy, excess aggregate demand ultimately drives up inflation. Thus the limits may have changed, but the consequences of overtaxing the limits remain the same.
So far, I have discussed monetary transmission mechanisms working through the housing sector when the financial system is operating normally. However, exceptionally unfavorable conditions in the housing sector have the potential to create instability in the financial system—instability that could magnify problems for the overall economy. Two questions thus arise: Through what channels might the housing sector at times be a source of financial instability? And could such instability affect the operation of the transmission mechanism, affecting the ability of a central bank to stabilize the overall macroeconomy?

A breakdown in financial stability occurs when shocks to the financial system cause disruptions to the credit intermediaries that are so severe that the system can no longer channel funds fluidly to creditworthy households and businesses with productive investment opportunities. Without access to financing, individuals and firms must cut their spending, which will have consequences for overall economic activity.

Because prices of homes, like other asset prices, are inherently forward looking, it is quite difficult to conclude firmly whether they are above their fundamental values, and researchers have come to conflicting conclusions. Nevertheless, an explosive rise in asset prices always generates concern that a bubble may be developing and that its bursting might lead to broad and deep economic distress.

The third factor that can lead to overheating is a change in the economic environment that alters the risk-taking incentives of agents making credit decisions. For example, a prolonged period of low interest rates, of the sort we are experiencing today, can create incentives for agents to take on greater duration or credit risks, or to employ additional financial leverage, in an effort to “reach for yield” ...

Putting it all together, my reading of the evidence is that we are seeing a fairly significant pattern of reaching-for-yield behavior emerging in corporate credit.

To lend a little concreteness and urgency to this issue, imagine that it is 18 months from now, and that with interest rates still very low, each of the trends that I identified earlier has continued to build — to the point where we believe that there could be meaningful systemic implications. What, if any, policy measures should be contemplated?
CHART 52

“If something cannot go on forever, it will stop.”

— Herbert Stein’s Law

CHART 53: ROUND TRIP FOR BOND YIELDS

United States: 10-year T-note Yield
(percent)

Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff
CHART 54: HAVE BOND BULLS READ BERNANKE’S PIECE?

Source: Federal Reserve Board

CHART 55: ARGUABLY THE MOST COMPPELLING ARGUMENT FOR EQUITIES

United States: S&P 500 Dividend Yield and Five-year T-note Yield

Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff
CHART 56: DIVIDENDS — GROWTH TRUMPS YIELD

**United States**

**S&P 500 Dividend per Share**
(year-over-year percent change)

**Dividend Payout Ratio**
(percent)

Source: Bank of America Merrill Lynch, Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff

CHART 57: JUNK BONDS AT 5% YIELD?

**United States: Corporate Bond Spread**

**BB-B Rated Corporate Bond Yield**
(percent)

**BB-B Rated Spread off Treasury Master**
(basis points)

Shaded regions represent periods of U.S. recession
Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff
CHART 58: CORPORATE DEFAULT RATES MATCH STRONG BALANCE SHEET FUNDAMENTALS

United States: High Yield Corporate Bond Default Rates (percent)

Shaded regions represent periods of U.S. recession

Source: Barclays Capital, Gluskin Sheff

CHART 59: CORPORATE SECTOR FINANCES IN GOOD SHAPE

United States: Nonfarm Nonfinancial Corporate Business (percent)

Source: Haver Analytics, Gluskin Sheff
CHART 60: NEXT STRATEGY SHIFT: FROM S.I.R.P. TO H.I.R.P. — HEDGED INFLATION RISK PROTECTION

- Real estate
- TIPS
- Art/collectibles
- Gold/silver
- Banks
- Consumer staples
- Energy
- Metals
- Agriculture
- Credit arbitrage
- Long-short strategies
- Volatility
- Loonie, Aussie, Kiwi

Source: Gluskin Sheff

CHART 61: EQUITY SECTOR SELECTION IN A COST-PUSH STAGFLATION ENVIRONMENT
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Source: Gluskin Sheff
OVERVIEW OF THEMES AND STRATEGIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THEME</th>
<th>STRATEGY/IDEA</th>
<th>SECTOR/ASSET CLASS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Redeployment of Capital</td>
<td>Companies which have deployed or have capacity (and a track record) to deploy excess capital to generate risk-adjusted returns which exceeds their cost of capital.</td>
<td>Special situations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income Orientation</td>
<td>Focus on reliable dividend growth and dividend yield; Being and staying ahead of the robust demographic (baby-boomers aging) shift towards income oriented investments. Safety and Income at a Reasonable Price (S.I.R.P.).</td>
<td>Income-oriented stocks with the ability to sustain and grow dividends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financials</td>
<td>A focus on companies whose business model would benefit from a rising interest rate environment over the longer term; A steeper yield curve would also benefit banks as well; Focus on those that are at or near Basel III compliance, trade below book and will see NIM expansion from rising rates.</td>
<td>Insurance companies, Banks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian credit</td>
<td>Although Verizon is certainly a threat to the incumbent firms in Canada, we think this is a bigger equity/earnings risk and still feel comfortable with these companies from a credit perspective; Even when the credit markets were strong and spreads were moving materially tighter, deposit notes have been the major laggard in Canadian credit so far in 2013.</td>
<td>Credit of Canadian telecom companies, Canadian bank deposit notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Infrastructure</td>
<td>There are mega-billions of dollars worth of projects awaiting approval in North America for the distribution of natural gas both for domestic consumption and export. The multi-year trend toward increasing gas consumption will benefit companies that have expertise in building natural gas infrastructure and liquefaction/gas-to-liquid technology.</td>
<td>Engineering &amp; Construction companies specialized in natural gas infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto Recovery</td>
<td>The profitability leverage for the likes of Ford and GM is considerable when the global automotive industry begins to improve.</td>
<td>U.S. auto manufacturers, Auto parts suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Domestic Demand Plays</td>
<td>The region is swinging back to positive growth for the first time in two years; We favour western European Telecom names on the back of “less bad” results. Expectations remain extremely low for this group.</td>
<td>European Financials, Industrials, Healthcare, Consumer Discretionary, Western European Telecom Companies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility/Connectivity and IT Infrastructure</td>
<td>Focus on those firms that benefit from the secular trend surrounding the portability of data and increased consumer usage of smartphones/tablets; Identify and invest in firms that benefit from cloud-based strategies that allow customers to be more efficient and realize cost savings.</td>
<td>Technology firms, Cellular carriers/Tower companies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Cyclical</td>
<td>Focus on special situations that are not correlated with the economic cycle.</td>
<td>Defense-aerospace, Healthcare, Dollar/Discount stores</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Capital Preservation
- Lock in high-quality corporate bond spreads in non-cyclical sectors;
- Minimize volatility via alternative strategies such as long/short equity strategies.
- Income-producing equities, preferreds and bonds
- Credit of Canadian Banks, Retailers, Insurance companies

### Other
- Invest in hard "strategic" assets;
- Focus on burgeoning middle class in emerging markets.
- Commercial aerospace companies
- Emerging market consumer stocks

Updated: August 14, 2013
Source: Gluskin Sheff
Gluskin Sheff at a Glance

Gluskin Sheff + Associates Inc. is one of Canada’s pre-eminent wealth management firms. Founded in 1984 and focused on high net worth private clients and institutional investors, we are dedicated to meeting the needs of our clients by delivering strong, risk-adjusted returns together with the highest level of personalized client service.

OVERVIEW
As of June 30, 2013, the Firm managed assets of $6.2 billion. The minimum investment required to establish a client relationship with the Firm is $3 million. Gluskin Sheff is a publicly traded corporation on the Toronto Stock Exchange (symbol: GS) and remains 46% owned by its senior management and employees. We have public company accountability and governance with a private company commitment to innovation and service.

LEADING
Our team is an exemplary group of investment professionals deep in talent, ideas and experience with the industry's top leaders in risk management and client service – all with the objective of providing strong risk-adjusted returns and the highest level of personalized client service.

INNOVATIVE
Throughout our history we have been Stubbornly unconventional™, consistently pursuing innovative approaches to wealth management for our clients. Today, we offer a diverse platform of investment strategies, including Canadian, U.S. and international equity strategies, alternative strategies and fixed income strategies.

PERSONAL
For Gluskin Sheff, delivering outstanding client service is as fundamental as delivering strong investment results. Our clients are unique, and so are their needs. This is why we offer customized investment plans to suit each client’s specific objectives and risk profile. Our success in developing lasting client relationships is founded on shared values, a thorough understanding of our clients’ goals and a keen desire to earn their trust and confidence.

ALIGNED
Our investment interests are directly aligned with those of our clients, as Gluskin Sheff’s management and employees are collectively the largest client of the Firm’s investment portfolios. Our clients are our partners, through performance-based fees that are earned only when pre-specified performance benchmarks for clients’ investments are exceeded.

PROVEN
$1 million invested in our flagship GS+A Premium Income Portfolio in 2001 (its inception date) would have grown to approximately $4.5 million on June 30, 2013 versus $2.1 million for the S&P/TSX Total Return Index over the same period.

For further information, please contact research@gluskinsheff.com

Notes:
1. Past returns are not necessarily indicative of future performance. Rates of return are those of the composite of segregated Premium Income portfolios and are presented net of fees and expenses and assume reinvestment of all income. Portfolios with significant client restrictions which would potentially achieve returns that are not reflective of the manager’s portfolio returns are excluded from the composite. Returns of the pooled fund versions of the GS+A Premium Income Portfolio are not included in the composite.
2. Investment amounts are presented to reflect the actual return of the composite of segregated Premium Income portfolios and are presented net of fees and expenses.
3. The S&P/TSX Total Return Index calculation is based on the securities included in the S&P/TSX Composite and includes dividends and rights distributions. This index includes only Canadian securities.

gluskinsheff.com
IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES

Copyright 2013 Gluskin Sheff + Associates Inc. ("Gluskin Sheff"). All rights reserved.

This report may provide information, commentary and discussion of issues relating to the state of the economy and the capital markets. All opinions, projections and estimates constitute the judgment of the author as of the date of the report and are subject to change without notice. Gluskin Sheff is under no obligation to update this report and readers should therefore assume that Gluskin Sheff will not update any fact, circumstance or opinion contained in this report.

The content of this report is provided for discussion purposes only. Any forward looking statements or forecasts included in the content are based on assumptions derived from historical results and trends. Actual results may vary from any such statements or forecasts. No reliance should be placed on any such statements or forecasts when making any investment decision, and no investment decisions should be made based on the content of this report.

This report is not intended to provide personal investment advice and it does not take into account the specific investment objectives, financial situation and particular needs of any specific person. Under no circumstances does any information represent a recommendation to buy or sell securities or any other asset, or otherwise constitute investment advice. Investors should seek financial advice regarding the appropriateness of investing in specific securities or financial instruments and implementing investment strategies discussed or recommended in this report.

Gluskin Sheff may own, buy, or sell, on behalf of its clients, securities of issuers that may be discussed in or impacted by this report. As a result, readers should be aware that Gluskin Sheff may have a conflict of interest that could affect the objectivity of this report. Gluskin Sheff portfolio managers may hold different views from those expressed in this report and they are not obligated to follow the investments or strategies recommended by this report.

This report should not be regarded by recipients as a substitute for the exercise of their own judgment and readers are encouraged to seek independent, third-party research on any companies discussed or impacted by this report.

Securities and other financial instruments discussed in this report are not insured and are not deposits or other obligations of any insured depository institution. Investments in general and, derivatives, in particular, involve numerous risks, including, among others, market risk, counterparty default risk and liquidity risk. No security, financial instrument or derivative is suitable for all investors. In some cases, securities and other financial instruments may be difficult to value or sell and reliable information about the value or risks related to the security or financial instrument may be difficult to obtain. Investors should note that income from such securities and other financial instruments, if any, may fluctuate and that the price or value of such securities and instruments may rise or fall and, in some cases, investors may lose their entire principal investment. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance.

Foreign currency rates of exchange may adversely affect the value, price or income of any security or financial instrument mentioned in this report. Investors in such securities and instruments effectively assume currency risk.

Any information relating to the tax status of financial instruments discussed herein is not intended to provide tax advice or to be used by anyone to provide tax advice. Investors are urged to seek tax advice based on their particular circumstances from an independent tax professional.

Individuals identified as economists in this report do not function as research analysts. Under U.S. law, reports prepared by them are not research reports under applicable U.S. rules and regulations.

In accordance with rules established by the U.K. Financial Services Authority, macroeconomic analysis is considered investment research.

Materials prepared by Gluskin Sheff research personnel are based on public information. Facts and views presented in this material have not been reviewed by, and may not reflect information known to, professionals in other business areas of Gluskin Sheff.

To the extent this report discusses any legal proceeding or issues, it has not been prepared as nor is it intended to express any legal conclusion, opinion or advice. Investors should consult their own legal advisers as to issues of law relating to the subject matter of this report. Gluskin Sheff research personnel’s knowledge of legal proceedings in which any Gluskin Sheff entity and/or its directors, officers and employees may be plaintiffs, defendants, co—defendants or co—plaintiffs with or involving companies mentioned in this report is based on public information. Facts and views presented in this material that relate to any such proceedings have not been reviewed by, discussed with, and may not reflect information known to, professionals in other business areas of Gluskin Sheff in connection with the legal proceedings or matters relevant to such proceedings.

The information herein (other than disclosure information relating to Gluskin Sheff and its affiliates) was obtained from various sources and Gluskin Sheff does not guarantee its accuracy. This report may contain links to third—party websites. Gluskin Sheff is not responsible for the content of any third—party website or any linked content contained in a third—party website. Content contained on such third—party websites is not part of this report and is not incorporated by reference into this report. The inclusion of a link in this report does not imply any endorsement by or any affiliation with Gluskin Sheff.

Gluskin Sheff reports are distributed simultaneously to internal and client websites and other portals by Gluskin Sheff and are not publicly available materials. Any unauthorized use or disclosure is prohibited.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF USE

Your receipt and use of this report is governed by the Terms and Conditions of Use which may be viewed at www.gluskinsheff.com/terms.aspx

This report is prepared for the exclusive use of Gluskin Sheff clients, subscribers to this report and other individuals who Gluskin Sheff has determined should receive this report. This report may not be redistributed, retransmitted or disclosed, in whole or in part, or in any form or manner, without the express written consent of Gluskin Sheff.

YOU AGREE YOU ARE USING THIS REPORT AND THE GLUSKIN SHEFF SUBSCRIPTION SERVICES AT YOUR OWN RISK AND LIABILITY. NEITHER GLUSKIN SHEFF, NOR ANY DIRECTOR, OFFICER, EMPLOYEE OR AGENT OF GLUSKIN SHEFF, ACCEPTS ANY LIABILITY WHATSOEVER FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, CONSEQUENTIAL, MORAL, INCIDENTAL, COLLATERAL OR SPECIAL DAMAGES OR LOSSES OF ANY KIND, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, THOSE DAMAGES ARISING FROM ANY DECISION MADE OR ACTION TAKEN BY YOU IN RELIANCE ON THE CONTENT OF THIS REPORT, OR THOSE DAMAGES RESULTING FROM LOSS OF USE, DATA OR PROFITS, WHETHER FROM THE USE OF OR INABILITY TO USE ANY CONTENT OR SOFTWARE OBTAINED FROM THIRD PARTIES REQUIRED TO OBTAIN ACCESS TO THE CONTENT, OR ANY OTHER CAUSE, EVEN IF GLUSKIN SHEFF IS ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES OR LOSSES AND EVEN IF CAUSED BY ANY ACT, OMISSION OR NEGLIGENCE OF GLUSKIN SHEFF OR ITS DIRECTORS, OFFICERS, EMPLOYEES OR AGENTS AND EVEN IF ANY OF THEM HAS BEEN APPRISED OF THE LIKELIHOOD OF SUCH DAMAGES OCCURRING.

If you have received this report in error, or no longer wish to receive this report, you may ask to have your contact information removed from our distribution list by emailing research@gluskinsheff.com.